

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/803,017	<b>Applicant(s)</b> REINECKE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Adam C. Rehm	<b>Art Unit</b> 2875	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Adam C. Rehm.

 (2) John W. Harbst.
**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 November 2005
**Time:** 4:00pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 9 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Re-title application to "Thematic Structure with Air-responsive Light Source"; replace instances of "enclosure" with "structure"; and add "walled" to preamble of Claims 9 and 15.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)